### DIAGNOSIS AND THERAPY IN BABYLONIAN MEDICINE\*

### M. STOL (AMSTERDAM)

Modern students of Babylonian medicine are confronted with a large corpus of texts that consists of two main groups, the "diagnostic" and the "therapeutic" texts. The "diagnostic" texts are also termed "medical omina" because they predict the future of the patient after having given the symptoms of his illness. They are followed by the "physiognomic omina" forecasting the future of healthy persons on the basis of the outer appearance of their bodies. Most of the omina in what we will call "The Diagnostic Handbook" give the source of illness ("diagnosis"), often the "Hand" of a supernatural being, and add the patient's outlook ("prognosis"), most often either "he will live", or "he will die". The expert who consults this handbook in determining the patient's life expectancy is the "conjurer" (āšipu). When there is hope that the patient "will live", he, or the physician (asû), has the numerous therapeutic texts at hand in order to find a fitting remedy. The Diagnostic Handbook itself, in its classic form, only rarely adds a therapy: a few times we find, after the symptoms, "he [the conjurer] will cast an incantation upon him [the patient] and he will live" 1), or: "he will perform his art of the conjurer for him and he will live"2). In other instances we find for a baby an ointment "in order to heal him"3) and for various "epilepsies" caused by "hands" the composition of magical leather-bags "in order to save him" 4). These bags served as apotropaic amulets.

## Two diagnostic handbooks

It is little known that there actually were two different Diagnostic Handbooks. The first, the well-known one, was published by R.Labat as "Traité akkadien de diagnostics et pronostics médicaux" (TDP) and bears the title "When the conjurer approaches the house of a sick man". This is the first line of the two tablets that open this Handbook: they are not medical because they do not discuss disease but

<sup>\*</sup> Expanded version of a paper read at the Symposium "Science in Ancient Mesopotamia", Leiden, 14-15 May 1992.

<sup>1)</sup> TDP 42:37; 169:68; 222:38-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) TDP 196:69; F.R. Kraus, ZA 77 (1987) 197 Omen 4. — Note the unusual prescription in TDP 26:76, a-na BÚR Ú x TI ni-ši (= inêš).

<sup>3)</sup> TDP 222:42-3 (cause: nikipti Sîn).

<sup>4)</sup> TDP 192:37-54.

describe all kinds of fortuitous events that might happen on the way to the patient's house. It has the character of the omen series Šumma Alu<sup>5</sup>). We might add that quite another text gives an incantation intended to protect a conjurer on his way to his work<sup>6</sup>). Only in Tablet III of the Handbook does the careful observation of the patient's condition begin; its first line is "In your approaching the sick man". This incipit also is the title of the first of the five "divisions" of the Handbook, this one consisting of tablets III-XIV. Clearly, the real Handbook begins only here and tablets I-II have been added to the beginning. Indeed, there was a second Diagnostic Handbook, as R.Labat has seen, this one with the title "In your approaching a sick man" which suggests that it started with our Tablet III. Hardly anything has been said about this composition; we will make some remarks.

Labat published a fragment of its Tablet 2, found in Nippur, O.R. Gurney of its Tablets 23 (?) and [24] (?), found in Sultantepe<sup>7</sup>). We suggest that this was an earlier form of TDP starting with its real beginning, Tablet III. The two fragments show that it was an independent piece of work. Entries in Labat's fragment can be found in TDP, but in other contexts. We suppose that this older Handbook remained in existence and that TDP was based on it. The first half of the large fragment published by Gurney (Tablet 23) gives symptoms of illness caused by human agents: Hand of Cutting-off-Life  $(q\bar{a}t\ zikurrud\hat{e})$ , Machinations by black magic  $(ip\bar{s}\bar{u})$ , Hatred  $(z\bar{i}ru)$ . Of many of these forms of sorcery the exact day in the year on which or the star before whom it was performed are given. The later, main Handbook gives other forms of sorcery as diagnosis ("Hand of Man";  $ki\bar{s}p\bar{u}$ ; ru'tu) 8). The second half of the Gurney fragment (Tablet [24]?) deals with forms of epilepsy and ends with sundry matters. Its last section with cases all diagnosed as "Hand of Sîn" begins with an entry that we find back as first line of Tablet XIX of the other Handbook 9).

As a rule, the Labat and Gurney fragments do not recommend a therapy. There is one exception: the Gurney fragment prescribes what to do in some cases of epilepsy (167-186). In two cases of its severest form, "Spawn of Šulpaea", the patient is to be killed <sup>10</sup>). Such a prescription is unheard of in the medical corpus. Another peculiar feature of the 24th (?) tablet (= lines 103-204) is that after a first diagnosis without prognosis, two sets of subsidiary symptoms follow, each ending in a prognosis. A clear example is the first section where every entry begins with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>) Sally M. Moren, *The omen series Šumma Alu: a preliminary investigation* (Diss. University of Pennsylvania 1978) 31 f.

<sup>6)</sup> KAR 31.

<sup>7)</sup> R. Labat, Syria 33 (1956) 119-130; O.R. Gurney, STT 1 89.

<sup>8)</sup> TDP 24:51; 88:10; 176:1-5 (!); 180:24; 218:15-19; 232:16 (read lu-'a-tú šu-[kul]; cf. TLB 2 21:21 (OB).

<sup>9)</sup> STT 1 89:205 = TDP 168:1, cf. 244 E 6. — Line 209 = TDP 34:24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>) Translated by Erica Reiner in Le monde du sorcier (= Sources Orientales, 7) (1966) 92.

šumma enūma iḥiṭaššu (DIŠ UD-ma UD.DU-šú) (103-140); after the diagnosis "Lugalgirra has seized him", more symptoms follow, introduced by šumma - šumma (written BE-ma). We take the seemingly independent entries concerning the right and the left cheek (lētu) interrupting the sequence (120-127) as secondary symptoms written on new lines, only looking like separate entries. More is unusual in this text. In one case the patient is "hit" by a scalpel in order to see how he reacts; this determines the outcome of the illness (152-158); in another case the diagnosis is different for a commoner and a patrician (190-1). Elsewhere a section ends with the recommendation "do not be negligent", using the Old Babylonian expression (aḥka la tanamdi, 140), again unique and possibly an indication of an Old Babylonian origin.

We note in the margin that it is remarkable that the only elaborate therapeutic prescriptions that we find in TDP again occur in the sections on epilepsy<sup>11</sup>). It is possible that the major therapeutic standard works did not have "chapters" on epilepsy and that our Handbooks covered therapy for it. Did they know that epilepsy is incurable and are the prescriptions in TDP only apotropaic?

In contrast, the omina in *TDP* are normalised in a formal style. It is my opinion that the Gurney text with its diverse, if not "wild" contents, was an older version and that the editor of the classic Diagnostic Handbook composed his book in reaction to this. When comparing both works one can indeed appreciate the system and conciseness in *TDP*. One example: he did not add subsidiary symptoms but started a new entry, taking up the first symptoms as "the same, and" (KI.MIN-ma), then giving the additional symptoms. Quite exceptionally, we know who the author of *TDP* was and we have the text where he tells us what he, Esagil-kīn-apli, did<sup>12</sup>).

## Hands of gods

Let us now discuss the work to the conjurer. His first task was to find the cause of illness.

Much has already been written about what the Babylonians considered causes of disease. Prayers are most informative in this respect. Limiting ourselves to the medical text corpus, we first note that the Diagnostic Handbook is specialized in this matter. Abundant are the "Hands" of supernatural beings. A few times, the texts add the human sin that had made this hand to strike: they are introduced by the word "because of" (aššum, rarely ana). Thus, some Hands are followed by the remark "Because of the wife of a man" (Šamaš once, Ninurta once, and, three times and exclusively, Uraš)<sup>13</sup>). This must refer to adultery; other passages speak

 <sup>11)</sup> TDP 192:37-54 (one Hand changing into another); Tablet XXIX (Lugal-urra; unpublished).
12) I.L. Finkel, A Scientific Humanist (Studies A.J. Sachs) (1988) 148.

<sup>13)</sup> TDP 42:32; 56:16; 170:16; cf. ana aššat awīli iṭḥe, 160:32. Note "Hand of the wife of a man" (qāt aššat amēli) in the commentary SpbTU I 27 rev. 9, commenting on Tablet I 23: "If he (the conjurer) sees

of a man having "approached" his sister or a priestess <sup>14</sup>). Being found in bed with just "a woman" does not lead to a fatal disease but can manifest itself by boils appearing on the skin <sup>15</sup>). The Hand of Ištar (and only this Hand) sometimes is followed by MU TAG TE which I interpret as "Because of touching the cheek (aššum lipit lēti)" <sup>16</sup>). Why did the compiler of the handbook add these occasional remarks on the cause of the Hand? Perhaps this way he makes it possible to find a ritual that absolves the wrongdoing indicated.

In all other cases we have to infer from the symptoms why a particular Hand was in play — a hopeless undertaking for the modern scholar. The only clear cases are those of the Hand of Dilbat (= Venus): the few references invariably have to do with problems of a man's lower abdomen, as far as we see: painful testicles, etc<sup>17</sup>). The Hand of Ištar is behind a lack of potency<sup>18</sup>) but in most cases we would never associate the symptoms with the goddess of love.

It is virtually impossible to discover by what method the conjurer linked symptoms with particular Hands. We regularly see that the Hand of Ištar is associated with the left side of the body whereas that of the Sungod Šamaš is associated with the right side. Elsewhere this opposition is marked by colours: white for the Sungod, black for Ištar. Ištar also is opposed to Šulpaea as left to right. Although a few references blur this general picture, we can safely conclude that the association of the most important female deity in Mesopotamia with the left side fits the universal idea that the right side has to do with "male" (and good) and the left side with "female" (and bad). To give one example from a Babylonian ritual: "He holds the male (bird) in his right hand, the female in his left hand" <sup>19</sup>). One may call this folk wisdom. Another example, specific for Babylonia, is the association of ear-ache and related troubles, like migraine, with the Hand of a Spirit. This "popular" Hand is widely attested in the texts and only here we notice

a white donkey: that patient: Hand of the wife of a man; he will die"; see A.R.George, RA 85 (1991) 142 and 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>) TDP 108:17 ("Hand of Sîn" follows; inadvertently omitted by R. Labat); 112:24; 136:65, 66; 138:67.

<sup>15)</sup> TDP 28:91. Also in 170:21-23; KAR 211:20.

<sup>16)</sup> TDP 60:46; 88:5, 17; ana in 170:19, K. 8673:11 (unp.), variant of šá in 182:39. We reject the reading TAG-te = lipte because instead of the consistent te one would expect ti. The meaning of lipit lētim is unknown and seems to have sexual connotations. "Touching the cheek" is related to marriage ceremonies in VAS 18 77; see M.Stol in Marchands, diplomates et empereurs (Mélanges Paul Garelli) (1991) 339.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>) TDP 134:38 ("If his penis and his testicles are swollen: Dilbat has reached him in his lying down"); 232:14 (navel); 236:53, 140:47 (burning *ribītu*); cf. 142:1; 244 E 10 (fragmentary). In ABL 203 rev. 1 a venereal disease?

<sup>18)</sup> TDP 126:41; cf. 178:14-15 (muruş nâki, venereal disease?); KUB 37 82:4 with Biggs, Śà.zi.ga. Ancient Mesopotamian Potency Incantations (1967) 61; KAR 70:9 with Biggs, 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>) References will be given in the author's forthcoming book "Epilepsy in Babylonia" (1993), Chapter III, "Hand of the Goddess".

how the experts introduced refinements such as "Seizure by the Hand of a Spirit" (next to the simpler "Seizure by a Spirit")<sup>20</sup>), "Touch by the Hand of a Spirit"<sup>21</sup>) — not to mention the various kinds of "roving" and "murderous" Spirits of the waste lands. So an elaborate "theology" was developed on Hand of a Spirit. Very frequent is also the Hand of a God — possibly the patient's personal god. Here, the refinements are found in the verbs: this Hand "is heavy", "reaches him", "is pressing upon him" (emēdu D and N), "is upon him".

There are a few passages mentioning Hands of supernatural beings, qualified as "demon, deputy of (sēdu šanê) god NN". Thus, the Spirit is "demon, deputy of Ištar", the epilepsy Bennu that of Sîn. Two texts give as their names what look like epithets (like Munnišu, Šamru "The Weakening / Fierce One"), often deputies of Anum<sup>22</sup>). These supernatural beings are no gods and we suppose that the major gods work through these intermediary Augenblicksgötter.

#### Human sin

Illness also could be due to a sin committed by the patient; breaking a taboo also belongs to this category. For example, a person stepping (kabāsu) into unclean water or on holy ground will contract a foot disease. We read this in the chapter on foot diseases in the therapeutic texts and a few times the Handbook mentions this fault. In one section of the Handbook simply "sin" and "oath" (perhaps: unconsciously committed sin) are given as the cause<sup>23</sup>). Cases such as these, as attested in the diagnostic texts, have been investigated by Karel van der Toorn<sup>24</sup>). We add that the Diagnostic Handbook, in the few cases it mentions human sin, has a marked interest in illicit sexual contacts — see above — and in not fulfilling vows made to the gods (ikribū, kaspu). One unusual sin can be traced back to popular wisdom: diagnosis "He stole [from] (?) a boat; the god of the harbour has seized him; he will ... and recover"<sup>25</sup>). This reminds us of a "proverb" preserved in a scribal exercise: "The god of the harbour will seize the thief of a boat, the gods (?) will seize the thief of a man (?)"<sup>26</sup>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>) AMT 76. 1:11, 15; 95,1:14; SpbTU I 49:33; BAM 2 216:12, cf. 55; 5 503 I 11, cf. 30 (sibit qāt etemmi). — Note sibit (?) qāt Sîn in TDP 38:57 (cf. also the "lu" in lines 58, 64).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>) K. van der Toorn, Sin and Sanction in Israel and Mesopotamia. A Comparative Study (1985) Pl. 8 K. 3937:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>) A concentration of these "deputies" is found in *BAM* 4 407; *CT* 14 38 K. 14081; J.V. Kinnier Wilson, *Iraq* 18 (1956) Plate XXV ND 4368 II 4-12 (seem to duplicate *BAM* 4 407:6-13), VI (cf. *Iraq* 19 41).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>) TDP 180:28-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>) Karel van der Toorn, Sin and Sanction in Israel and Mesopotamia ... (1985) 78 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>) 28:87 (copy: JCS 2 307 rev. 27), read with the help of an ancient commentary, SpbTU I 29:3. Cf. van der Toorn, 199 note 320.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>) UET 7 156 rev. I 9-15 (LÚ šar-ra-qu GIŠ.MÁ DINGIR ka-a-ri i-ṣab-bat-su LÚ šar-ra-qu a-me-lu DINGIR.MEŠ i-ṣab-bat-su). Possible emendation: God Sîn (d¹XXX) will seize the thief of a slave (a-me-lu-ti¹).

Turning now to the therapeutic texts, we observe that human behaviour is very rarely given as the cause of disease. In a case of dropsy: "he has approached his s[ister], he has set fire to the temple of Sebetti". This could be a quotation from a diagnostic text<sup>27</sup>). Human trespass as cause of foot-diseases is also found in therapeutic texts<sup>28</sup>). A woman receiving the seed in her womb but not giving birth suffers from "wrath of the god" (šibsat īli)<sup>29</sup>) and a man whose beard is thinning out has similar problems<sup>30</sup>). This is popular wisdom.

Sorcery

Sorcery might be called sins committed by other persons, one's enemies. The Old Babylonian texts give the simple diagnosis "that man has been bewitched" 31); later texts differentiate between the ways by which the witch has reached his / her goal. Most frequent is the administration of bewitched food or drink leading to intestinal troubles. I suppose that the sorcerer has spat his spittle (ru'(a)tu) into the food. We find the variant "he has been given spittle to eat and drink" as a method of bewitching<sup>32</sup>). "Spittle has seized him" is equivalent to "sorcery has seized him"33). In one instance, the Sumerogram for "sorcery" stands for "spittle": "sorcery flows in his mouth" 34). Generally speaking, "throwing spittle" (ÚH.ŠUB.BA) was an evil requiring a namburbi ritual35). Another word used in similar ways is lu'atu "dirty matter"; note that it sounds like ru'atu "spittle"<sup>36</sup>). A third word is nullātu. All are "given" to a man "to eat" (šūkul). Sometimes, figurines of the patient were laid in a grave by the witch, or even his semen. The latter trick brought about impotency, of course<sup>37</sup>). The most dangerous form of sorcery was Cutting-off-Life, intended to kill the patient. And all techniques could be used together (šabāsu). We cannot go into all this but note that sorcery had three clearly distinguished effects, treated in separate texts: physical disease, psychosomatic disorders, and social alienation 38). The latter also meant that the patient incurred financial losses, that his children, cattle and slaves died, etcetera; a true Job figure 39).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>) BAM 2 174 rev. 34; cf. the diagnostic text TDP 112:20-1 and 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>) CAD K 6 kabāsu mng. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>) BAM 3 240 rev. 70. In contrast, a woman giving birth to a son (?) enjoys the "favour of the god" (gimilli īli). 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>) BAM 5 480 III 48, with van der Toorn, 203 note 412.

<sup>31)</sup> BAM 4 393:1; AMT 85,1:1, etc. This text is a faithful copy of an OB original.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>) AMT 87,7:10. Compare TDP 64:46 with 176:5. Cf. A. Falkenstein, ZA 45 (1939) 19 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>) TDP 88:10.

<sup>34)</sup> KAR 211:16.

<sup>35)</sup> R.Caplice, Or. NS 39 (1970) 136 no. 40 rev. 8-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>) Biggs, Šà.zi.ga 69, dupl. 53.

<sup>38)</sup> Physical effects of sorcery: BAM 3 231; TDP 176. Social effects: BAM 3 316; AMT 71-72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>) Cf. the translation of BAM 3 234:1-9, given by J.V.Kinnier Wilson in Anatolian Studies 30 (1980)

The method of the conjurer

According to the wisdom text Ludlul it was the task of the conjurer to "clarify (apû Š) the nature of disease" (II 110). "Nature", šiknu, primarily means "appearance" and actually can refer to the patient's appearance; most of the references in the medical texts have this meaning<sup>40</sup>). The colour of the skin can be a determinant<sup>41</sup>). The expert has to draw his conclusions from the symptoms observed. Once, we read about the foot: "If a man is ill with an illness of the kabbartu, and the nature of the disease is confused  $(e\tilde{s}\hat{u})$ " (therapy follows)<sup>42</sup>). One medical text lists four symptoms and then gives this unique remark: "The nature of his disease is not known; that man is bewitched: figurines of him have been made and been given (paqādu) to (...) Ereškigal (= goddess of the Netherworld)". This is one method of black magic. Then the text continues: "In order to loosen the sorcery, four figurines of him have been made and given to Ereškigal"43). We assume that "In order to loosen the sorcery" does not belong here. We further suggest that the four figurines represent the four unidentified symptoms. One wonders how the conjurer could reach his diagnosis "sorcery" when he was unable to identify the "nature" of the symptoms. The exact meaning of the word šiknu eludes us here, as it does in Ludlul: one expects that "diagnosis" should be the meaning there but in the other contexts "appearance" is better. Meanwhile, the vague and provisional translation "nature" fits all passages.

A doctor who could not establish the "nature" (šikin murṣi amāru) of the king's disease nor diagnose it (sakikkê šuḥkumu) advised his lord to turn to the diviners; meanwhile, he continued prescribing a lotion<sup>44</sup>).

For impotency, we are given some insight on the way the conjurer determined the Hand that had caused the disease. He made figurines of a man and a woman, put them one upon the other, and observed the behaviour of a pig: if the pig approaches the figurines, the impotency is due to Hand of Ištar, if it does not, sorcery had seized that man — Hand of Man<sup>45</sup>). So a scene in the style of *Šumma* 

<sup>24-26 (</sup>read at the end of 5: i-zi-ir (!)-tú KA UN.MEŠ ḤI.A.M[EŠ], "the cursing by the mouth of man is much").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>) Cf. Edith Ritter, Studies in Honor of Benno Landsberger (1965) 301 note 11 (a), "nature or form of the disease, perhaps its syndrome; it is an enduring quality of the disease". See also V. Hurowitz, "The etymology of Biblical Hebrew 'ayin 'appearance' in light of Akkadian siknu", Zeitschrift für Althebraistik 3 (1990) 90-94.

<sup>41)</sup> BAM 6 409:18 (black); etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>) BAM 2 124 I 26, cf. Ritter, 307b ("is unclear"). "Confused" could refer to an undetermined colour! Note I 33, "If the illness of the kabbartu—the nature of his [!] illness (GIG) is black" (therapy follows). Does GIG stand here for simmu "sore"?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>) BAM 3 214 I 1-13; cf. M.-L. Thomsen, Zauberdiagnose und schwarze Magie in Mesopotamien (1987) 53; 83-4 Anm. 128. Col. IV: "ritual for Hand of Sorcery".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>) ABL 391 = LAS 246:9, 12, 17-19; cf. Ritter, 319a.

<sup>45)</sup> KAR 70:6-10; with R.D. Biggs, Šà.zi.ga (1967) 46. Dupl. SpbTU I 9:5-7.

Alu is organized; compare Gideon's test of the ways his soldiers drink water (Judges 7:4-7). We need not repeat that in most cases the motivation for the choice of particular Hands escapes on us.

In several therapeutic texts, we find a number of diseases grouped together. This is always one specific group, attested a dozen times in different contexts: disease caused by heat of the sun (himit  $s\bar{e}ti$ ), by blowing wind (sibit  $s\bar{a}ri$ ), two kinds of paralysis, often mentioned together (simmatu and rimûtu), a muscle disease ( $s\bar{a}s\bar{s}atu$ ), Hand of a Spirit, Hand of an Oath, (sorcery), rectal problems (DÚR.GIG), and "all kinds of illness" <sup>46</sup>). One gets the impression that we have here an exemplary list for all possible illnesses. If this is true, we have before us the main categories of disease as distinguished by the Babylonians. We add that the two kinds of paralysis are one entity because the second, rimûtu, is never attested as an independent ailment. This needs further investigation. We are reminded of the Seleucid text of capital importance studied by F. Köcher: it lists a number of diseases according to their location in four parts of the body: belly (?) (libbu), stomach (karšu?), lungs, kidneys<sup>47</sup>).

### Use of the Diagnostic Handbook in therapeutic texts

Once he has established the cause of the illness and as long as the prognosis is not "he will die", the conjurer will consult the relevant therapeutic texts and try to heal the patient. A conjurer such as Kiṣir-Aṣṣur had those texts in his library. The principal handbook was here a series of 45 tablets, with the title "If the skull of a man's head holds fever". It had a number of subdivisions 48). This handbook follows the human body from head to toe. This handbook was completely independent of TDP and only a few times can we detect correlations between both Handbooks. Labat already gave most of them in footnotes and J.V. Kinnier Wilson thought it possible that there was a therapeutic "companion series" going with TDP. The fact that identical groups of entries can be found together in both handbooks made him assume this 49). However, the sequence of the lines often is different and we would expect more traces of such a companion handbook. In our opinion, the Diagnostic Handbook was available to the compiler of the therapeutic texts and a few times he quoted one, two, or a few more omina from it — mostly for no obvious reasons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>) Often in a therapy involving ablution (marhasu); see CAD M/1 280a and Goltz, 78 note 472; add BAM 1 68-69:1-3; 216:27-8; 6 579 II 54-5, III 18-9. See F.Köcher, BAM 3 p. XVII ad no. 228:14-16. Note the translations given by Ritter, 316b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>) Köcher, Medizinische Diagnostik in Geschichte und Gegenwart (Festschrift Heinz Goerke) (1978) 22 ff., ad SpbTU I 43.

<sup>48)</sup> F.Köcher, Festschrift Heinz Goerke 18-20.

<sup>49)</sup> Kinnier Wilson, Iraq 19 (1957) 44-46.

```
Survey:
```

TDP 42:39 = BAM 5 482 I 60.

TDP 36:31 = BAM 5 482 II 62.

TDP 36:32 = BAM 5 482 III 1.

TDP 34:17 = BAM 5 482 III 5.

TDP 34:13 = BAM 5 482 III 7.

TDP 34:18 = BAM 5 482 IV 40 (?).

**TDP** 34:15 = BAM 5 482 IV 44.

TDP 32:8, 10-12 (all "he will die") = BAM 5 482 IV 49, 47, 48, 46 (in all no therapy given).

TDP 36:35 = AMT 19.1 I 9-10 (?) (related to BAM 5 482).

TDP 68:10 (two omens; ears) = BAM 5 506:8-10 and dupls.

TDP 140:39 = CT 23 1:1 (definition of SA.GAL).

TDP 154:15-16 = BAM 4 416 rev. 8-10.

TDP 170:24 = BAM 6 578 III 7 (definition of amurrigānu).

TDP 72:13 = BAM 6 578 IV 26 (definition of ahhāzu; variants).

TDP 188:1, 5-13 = AMT 77, 1 I 1-10 (no therapy given).

TDP 192:35-36 = BAM 5 471 II 21-22, and dupls.

TDP  $168:3-4 = BAM \ 3 \ 323:65-67$  (more symptoms in BAM 5 471 II 26-28)

TDP 190:16-17 = Kinnier Wilson, Iraq 19 (1957) 40 ND 4368 I 3-4.

TDP 190:14-15 = ibidem, I 10-12 (with minor variants)

TDP 82:21 = ibidem, I 15-19 (with minor variants)

TDP 190:18 = ibidem, I 26; Labat, Semitica 3 (1950) 11 AO 7760 III 7-8 (= Nougayrol, RA 73 65).

TDP 220:28 = K. 3628 + :8 (Diss. I.L. Finkel, 268).

TDP 224:60 = K.3628 + :9.

TDP 230:112 = K. 3628 + :10.

TDP 230:113 = K. 3628 + :11.

TDP 112:20-21, 24 seem to be related to BAM 2 174 rev. 33-34.

TDP 88:8-10 has much in common with BAM 4 397 rev. 33-36 (MB!).

TDP 80:10 = BAM 2 129 IV 3 (definition of SA.DUGUD or šaššatu; variants).

TDP  $80:11 = BAM \ 2 \ 131:9^{50}$ ).

TDP 80:12-13 has much in common with KUB 37 87:13-16 (MB).

STT 1 89:18-22 = BAM 5 449 III 18-22

STT 1 89:23-27 = BAM 5 449 III 24-27

STT 1 89:48-51 = BAM 4 361:40-43

STT 1 89:52-56 = BAM 4 361:45-48

STT 1 89:38-42 = KMI 76:12-14 $^{51}$ ).

<sup>50)</sup> Read in BAM 2 131:9 instead of i-ba-al: i-zu-úr; cf. also 129 IV 6. Probably an ancient corruption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>) Cf. T. Abusch, Babylonian Witchcraft Literature (1987) 62 f., note.

Two of these passages deserve closer attention, those where no therapy is added. They are true quotations.

AMT 77, 1 is the first column of a large tablet on mišittu ("stroke"; of various parts of the body). This tablet begins with an almost full quotation of the first thirteen lines, those on stroke, in the chapter of the Diagnostic Handbook on epilepsy-related diseases, TDP 188. Characteristically, it skips the lines (= symptoms) in the handbook predicting la pater "it will not stop", and imât (!) "he will die". These entries were of no help to the healer. The author of this therapeutic tablet deemed it useful to prefix his recipes with what he considered to be basic guidelines, taken from TDP. Therapies follow only in line 11, and not of the kinds of stroke discussed in the preceding ten lines, but of stroke "of the rear" 52). "Stroke" indeed was to the Babylonians a well-defined concept and its effects (šipru) could easily be confused with other disorders. That is why another chapter in the Diagnostic Handbook, summing up two sets of symptoms of paralysis, warns in both cases: "(this is) not stroke!"53). Such a negative diagnosis is unique in the handbook. On the other hand, elsewhere in the handbook "Hand of Stroke" is defined as follows: "If his face is pinched (pānūšu sap-ru), his trunk is without feeling, his left hand is hanging down so that he cannot raise it, he drags his feet: Hand of Stroke; his days will be long, he will not fare well"54). These two passages are more or less isolated insertions in other chapters of the handbook: the first context discusses new symptoms in an already existing disease (ina mursišu), and the second abnormalities of the face. In these contexts, the author wished to set stroke apart. These definitions are not repeated in the thirteen-line section on stroke beginning the chapter on epilepsy-related diseases. Similarly, a therapeutic text gives symptoms of facial palsy other than those listed here 55). Also, we find here a definition of stroke other than that quoted above, and only in line 8: "If he is heavy and he has bent (kanānu) either his hand or his foot: stroke has struck 56) him; he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>) The contents of the fragmentary tablet on stroke, K.2418+, in AMT 77-79, are roughly as follows: col. I, quotation from TDP and therapies for "effects (šipru) of stroke that has touched the rear"; also of "the front" (GABA.RI = miḥru; misread by CAD M/2 125b as qab-ri)?; col. II, fragmentary (facial palsy expected?); col. III, aphasia; col. IV, stroke of the cheek, the neck, the hips, the trunk, the arm, the foot.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>) TDP 160:30-31. Prognosis: "his disease will pass (etēqu) (and he will recover)".

<sup>54)</sup> TDP 78:72-3. Compare this with the preceding entry, where the face is *sep-ru*: the same symptoms are given in almost the same words but no diagnosis follows and the prognosis is "he will die" (71). We take 72-73 as an insertion: the scribe knew that a squinting eye (*īnšu iṣappar*, cf. SpbTU I 46:16) is a sign of facial palsy and wished to differentiate *pānūšu ṣep-ru* in 71 from *pānūšu ṣap-ru* (72). The inability "to raise his left hand" is phrased in 71 as ŠU-su šá CL na-šá-a NU ZU-e but in 72 as ŠU-su šá NÍG.GIG-ti-šú (= maruštišu) ŠUB-ma NU ÍL-ši. The unusual wording of 72 expressing the same as 71 suggests that the editor took this omen from another source.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>) SpbTu I 46:16-17 (dupl. AMT 76,5:11-12; joins 79,4:2-3) (it could be that in 18 the prescription begins; contrast H. Hunger), as compared with TDP 188:1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>) mašādu is equated with mahāsu in the commentaries SpbTU I 47:7 and CT 41 31 rev. 20. This

will recover" <sup>57</sup>). Does "heavy" refer to aphasia? Clearly, the thirteen lines on stroke quoted in the therapeutic text are not a complete survey of all variants of stroke but nevertheless they are systematic in that they follow the symptoms from the face (mišitti pānī) to the trunk (pagru). The unusual feature of stroke is indeed that it affects various parts of the body whereas a "chapter" in the therapeutic corpus treats only one part. This may be the reason why we find this introduction here. In a way, this introduction reminds me of the casuistry on the poor and the rich man exchanging goods from private to public domain, at the beginning of the Mishnah tractate Sabbath: again an isolated section, but considered to be basic and meant to set the scene of the tractate.

The second example is *BAM* 5 482, a large text giving prescriptions against ailments of the temples and the forehead. The last column, IV, ends with a group of symptoms of "migraine" taken from *TDP* 32. The diagnosis of the first entry in *TDP* is: Hand of a Spirit, and no prognosis is given. For this reason, our therapist, quoting this entry, felt free to give a prescription, hoping that the prognosis would be good. Then, however, after a dividing line, follow four lines taken from *TDP* all ending with the prediction "he will die". So at the end of our therapeutic text a number of hopeless cases are given. Note that the text on stroke *omitted* the hopeless cases; it consciously started with a number of hopeful cases.

# Death in the therapeutic texts

Normally, the therapist promises recovery; his recipes end in "he will live (= recover)", or this is implied by prescribing a treatment (like: "you will bandage him [with this]"). The times that he sums up symptoms and concludes by the sobering remark "he will die" are very few 58). Above, we have discussed those concerning migraine. We come across another concentration of them in the tablet on gall diseases: of the jaundice amurriqānu that has expanded to the eyes, it is said: "that man is ill with all kinds of 'wind'; his disease will be protracted and he will die"; the next entry speaks of another aggravation of this jaundice and concludes: "its 'work' will last long and he will die"; 59). The message is that any treatment will be futile in the long run. The word "protract" (zabālu D) is typical of the diagnostic,

meaning "to hit, to strike" seems to fit BAM 1 32:5, dupl. 4 417:4, BE-ma GIG (= simmu!) im-šid-ma SA.MEŠ-šú A.MEŠ ú-šal-la-ku, "if it (?) hits the wound and his blood vessels circulate water". The open wound shows the presence of water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>) TDP 188:8, cited AMT 77,1:5. In sum, we find in the therapeutic texts (fragmentary!) only two definitions of forms of stroke: "stroke of the face" (translated here), and "stroke of the hips": "he is not able to walk" (atallukam la ide), BAM 2 136 II 3-5, dupl. AMT 79,1 IV 11.

<sup>58)</sup> Cf. Ritter, 304 f., P. Herréro, La thérapeutique mésopotamienne (1984) 25 note 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>) BAM 6 578 III 4-6. Note that in the next line a "definition" of simple amurriqānu follows: "If a man's body is yellow, his face is yellow, he acquires 'falling out of the flesh' (šiḥḥat šīri): amurriqānu is its name". Many precriptions follow.

not the therapeutic texts. At the end of this text, closing the section on another jaundice named aḥḥāzu, we again find two incurable forms with the same extra symptoms as the hopeless amurriqānu<sup>60</sup>). The first entry ends with the remark on the disease being protracted and death; the second has this formula: "The physician should not lay his hand on that patient; that man will die, he will not [live]". The statement on the physician is taken from hemerological contexts; the expression "he will die, he will not [live]" — if rightly restored — is unique<sup>61</sup>) and reminds one of this same seemingly redundant phrase in some sections of the Ešnunna Code<sup>62</sup>) and in particular of Isaiah's prophecy to Hezekiah, "Set your house in order, for you shall die, you shall not live" (Is. 38:1, 2 Kings 20:1). A few times the medical texts give the prognosis "he will not live" instead of the expected "he will die" <sup>63</sup>).

The tablet discussing foot diseases has a large section on the part of the foot named kabbartu. Almost at its end the following two hopeless cases are given: "If an Oath has seized it, he will have rest (ipaššaḥ); in the remote future he will die — If it has formed 'mud' (ruṭibtu, var. rušumtu ibtani), he will die" <sup>64</sup>). The second observation probably was common knowledge, empirical. The effect of the Oath reminds us of a similar effect of a "debilitating (šaḥḥiḥu) Oath" affecting the digestive tract: "After a long time that man will die (irrikma imât)" <sup>65</sup>).

What is surprising in this latter text is that nevertheless a prescription follows, with the unusual introduction and maš-taq-ti-šú < u > bul-lu-ti-šú. The word maštaa/ktu remains obscure <sup>66</sup>).

A special case is death due to the Hand of Cutting-off-Life (qāt zikurrudê) caused by black magic aimed to kill (nakās napišti). "He will die" invariably is the prognosis in the Gurney text giving these omina. Now, it is interesting that these entries are quoted in therapeutic texts, omitting the references to stars, but including the unfavourable prognosis. Nevertheless, these texts go on by giving prescriptions, thus disregarding the "he will die". This means that they want to prevent death: they are apotropaic 67). Another passage on the same problem is phrased as follows: "That man, [machinations of black magic have been practised against him] before the Wagon Star: after a lapse of ten days he will die (ana 10

<sup>60)</sup> IV 43-46.

<sup>61)</sup> Note "Hand of Šulak; he will not live, he will die", R. Labat, MDP 57 (1974) 245 V 5.

<sup>62)</sup> Sections 12, 13, 28; cf. R. Yaron, *The Laws of Eshnunna* (1988) 259-262. Now also attested in a Mari letter with a legal flavour: M. Ghouti, *Florilegium Marianum* (Mélanges M. Fleury) (1992) 63:25 (amát ul aballut).

<sup>63)</sup> TDP 154:20, 184:20, 208:86; BAM 6 578 I 10; cf. TLB 2 21:33 (ul balit).

<sup>64)</sup> AMT 73 II 10-11, var. BAM 2 124 II 34-5.

<sup>65)</sup> BAM 2 156:1-3.

<sup>66)</sup> See the dictionaries and cf. Herréro, 39: "pour guérir sa meurtrissure".

<sup>67)</sup> See the Survey, sub STT 1 89:18-22 (a potion in BAM), 23-27 (therapy at the beginning of BAM 5 449 col. IV?), 48-51, 52-56 (amulets in BAM).

*ūme irrikma imât*)". Then, however, a ritual in the style of a *namburbi* follows "in order to save him" from that Hand<sup>68</sup>). Here, we have before us one of the few examples in medicine where one resorts to a *namburbi* in order to avoid disaster <sup>69</sup>). The kind of disaster that a *namburbi* takes care of is named *lumnu* (ḤUL), "Evil", in Akkadian. "Evil has seized him" is rarely attested in the medical texts<sup>70</sup>).

An isolated fragment promises "he will come out of sorcery / hardship / sin; he will walk in straightness" <sup>71</sup>).

After this discussion of the prognosis "he will die", we add that indications on the expected length of the disease, so often attested in the Diagnostic Handbook (terms:  $ar\bar{a}ku$ ,  $zab\bar{a}lu$  D), are never given in the therapeutic texts <sup>72</sup>). Some of those texts give prescriptions "in order that his disease does not last long" <sup>73</sup>). Perhaps these texts take up the prognosis "it will last long and he will recover" in the Diagnostic Handbook, and have the intention to shorten this period.

### Other omina in therapeutic texts

One might call these lines on death or duration of illness in the style of *TDP* as "intrusions" in the therapeutic texts. There are a few more intrusions from other quarters of omen literature. Let us look now at such unusual predictions. Most of them give the prospects for the patient's good or bad fortune in later life.

- The exceptional prognosis "Cutting-off-Life will not come near and that man will enjoy old age (NAM.AB.BA *išebbi*)" still has some relationship with health, but this apodosis is not found elsewhere in the medical corpus<sup>74</sup>). Clearly, quite the opposite of imminent death is promised here in emphatic terms.
- Two lines in a therapeutic text on skin problems of the face give non-medical predictions as if we had to do with medically insignificant pimples or the like; this is an intrusion from the physiognomic texts <sup>75</sup>). Physiognomic texts deal with the appearance of healthy persons <sup>76</sup>).
- The Diagnostic Handbook offers these subsequent omina: "If the right ear of a man buzzes all the time, hardship (mēsiru) will seize him If his left ear buzzes all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup>) AMT 44,4; cf. M.-L. Thomsen, Zauberdiagnose 42. I supply at the end of line 2 [ipšū epšušum], based on STT 1 89:26, 42, 46, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup>) BAM 6 580 V 9 (skin diseases); KADP 1 V 13 (šimmatu?); R.D. Biggs, Šà.zi.ga 40 no. 21:15, dupl. SpbTU I 9:15 (potency).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup>) TDP 30:97, 190:15-19 (correct Labat's gallû); cf. DIB HUL in STT 1 89:190.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup>) AMT 87,3 I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup>) The ideogram GÍD.DA in GÍD.DA-ma iballut (as in AMT 81,1 IV 2; 82,3 rev. 13, 16) stands for šadādu "to suck".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup>) Cf. *CAD* A/2 224b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup>) *BAM* 5 461 III 28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup>) BAM 1 35:12-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>) This means that the man with the swollen belly (etc.) in STT 2 324:2-3 still is healthy although illness or death after one year are predicted. Death after one year (and more) is typical of non-diagnostic texts; see J. Bottéro in Annuaire — Ecole pratique des Hautes Etudes, IVe section, 1969-1970 95-6.

the time, he will see profit (nēmelu)". Two lines earlier, we find the same symptoms with a truly medical prognosis: "he will live" for the right ear; "his disease will last long" for the left ear 77). Clearly, these omina — physiognomic — were inserted after the medical ones. As it happens, both also are widely attested in the therapeutic texts where a therapy is added 78). In our opinion, we have here an example of popular physiognomy on buzzing ears, so well known that the authors of the medical corpus could not avoid them and had to incorporate them as alternative traditions.

— "His house will be scattered / disappear", meaning that the family will be disrupted, is predicted when a baby behaves in a particular way 79). The same is said of a deaf person and of someone suffering from an epilepsy bordering on madness 80). These omina have much in common with those on the birth of malformed offspring.

## No prognosis possible

A medical omen normally has these elements: description of the symptoms — the cause (often a Hand) — prediction of death or life. The therapeutic texts give no more than the symptoms, only a few times adding a popular diagnosis ("the name of the illness is ...", or: "that man suffers from ..."). After this, the therapy follows. There is no mention of Hands and certainly not of death. However, a few therapeutic texts refer to a Hand and a prediction, named atbu, and it would not surprise me if these isolated passages turn out to be quotations from a diagnostic handbook. Two experts were able to predict the patient's future: the conjurer and the diviner (haruspex). Their making a prediction was named qība šakānu in Akkadian<sup>81</sup>). Twice the therapeutic texts, after having given the symptoms, offer this sequence: "Hand of god NN [= diagnosis], he will make a prediction [= prognosis]; in order to eradicate it" (therapy follows)82); another text does not speak of the Hand, but the Overthrow (sihiptu) by god NN<sup>83</sup>). This recommendation implies a warning: if the prediction should be "he will die", do not proceed. The illnesses are mostly those of the skin; we will offer an explanation at the end of this article.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup>) TDP 68:10, cf. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup>) BAM 5 506:8-10, and dupl. — The therapies are different.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup>) *TDP* 214:21 (cf. 22), 230:111 (cf. 110)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup>) TDP 70:12; STT 1 89:177. Also in TDP 72:21-24, again mad behaviour. — Note "he will not be successful" (ul ikeššer) in the epilepsy tablet; TDP 194 f.: 56-58; looks like a forecast from another corpus of omina.

<sup>81)</sup> Ritter, 303a note 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup>) BAM 4 417:15, 418:8 (skin diseases), AMT 19,8:3 (unrecognised dupls. BAM 4 415 rev. 2, CT 23 12 III 50) (muscle diseases).

<sup>83)</sup> BAM 6 580 III 17, 22 (skin diseases).

Somewhat more frequent are the negative recommendations. The Diagnostic Handbook always uses this negative phrase, "The conjurer shall not make a prediction about his life" <sup>84</sup>). The diagnostic text of Gurney also has this remark ("the demon Lugalgirra has seized him, you shall not make a prediction") but does not stop at this: two sets of subsidiary symptoms follow, the one ending in the diagnosis "Epilepsy (miqit šamê) has seized him", the other: "He shall grasp the garment of a patrician ...; do not be negligent" <sup>85</sup>). In a similar fashion, a therapeutic text has this passage of a negative statement with two alternatives added: "Hand of Ningeštinanna; you shall not make a prediction. If the sore spot (simmu) [...] goes, he will die. You shall not make a prediction. If the sore spot [....]. You shall make a prediction about his life; in order to eradicate it" (a therapy follows) <sup>86</sup>). It is unfortunate that this passage is so broken. The same text, further on, has the lines on the Overthrow by gods. We will return to these passages at the end of this article, in the discussion of skin diseases.

None of these passages explicitly says that it is the conjurer who is to make — or not make — a prediction. We assume that the editor of the classic handbook (TDP) canonized this standard formula in its negative form (already attested in Boghazköy<sup>87</sup>)). The other texts show us how they acted in practice. The question remains, what methods of treatment were left to the user of TDP once the conjurer could not make a prediction. TDP always stops short after this remark.

### Prognosis by the diviner

It is possible that one resorted to that other expert, the diviner performing extispicy  $(b\bar{a}r\hat{u})$  who also was able to give a prognosis for sick people 88). Actually, one therapeutic text indicates that his expertise could be used, although it is here phrased in a negative way. After a lengthy description of troubles of the chest and coughing, one passage ends: "That man suffers from [...; a physician shall n]ot lay [his hand on him], a diviner shall not make a prediction [...]"89). This negative phrase is standard in hemerologies and sounds like a quotation here. Again, we raise the question: what can the patient do in this situation? Maybe he can now turn to the conjurer. But are these texts not exactly those of the conjurer's library? We stress that this passage is unique in the medical corpus. The fact that neither this fragment nor its join shows any sign of therapy classifies it as a diagnostic text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup>) TDP 154:8, 176:3, 188:13 (forerunner KUB 34 6:5 + KBo 36 50:9, me-še-ti ma-hi-iş LÚ a-ši-pu a-[na ... qi-ba <math>l]a i-ša-kán).

<sup>85)</sup> STT 1 89:133-140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup>) BAM 5 580 III 3-5 (= AMT 27,6).

<sup>87)</sup> KUB 34 6:5.

<sup>88)</sup> R. Labat, JCS 6 (1952) 131; I. Starr, Queries to the Sungod (1990) 295 no. 317:5-6 (and dupls.) (negative).

<sup>89)</sup> AMT 51,2:2-6; join 52,9 (Thompson, RA 31 17; Labat, TDP p. XLVII).

Medical texts rarely mention the diviner<sup>90</sup>). A veiled reference to extispicy in medical texts is the phrase "that man will look for the sanctuary (aširta šite" $\hat{u}$ ) of god NN and (...)". This is not just a form of piety<sup>91</sup>). M.-J. Seux has shown that this expression can refer to extispicy<sup>92</sup>). The attestations are few. One text says "If a man's spleen hurts him, he will look for the sanctuary of Marduk and he will recover" (therapy follows without introduction)<sup>93</sup>). All remaining passages (in one text, on ear troubles) are fragmentary but some show that a general prediction is made, as in "that man will look for the sanctuary of Ištar and experience nice things (damiqta immar) and in order to heal him" (therapy follows)<sup>94</sup>). "Experiencing nice things", the expected result of the extispicy, is in this situation equivalent to the "he will live" of the medical omina.

A diviner could be asked to confirm by extispicy whether a specific Hand was laid on the patient and to give his prospects<sup>95</sup>).

One more remark on the role played by the diviner. One of the passages referred to has the prediction "during seven months he will experience nice things" (III 61). Setting time limits was one of the specific abilities of a diviner. Remember the line in Ludlul, "the conjurer did not clarify the nature (šiknu) of my disease, and the diviner did not give the time (adannu) of my ailment" (II 110-1). The meaning "crisis" has been proposed for adannu<sup>96</sup>). This translation could be advocated <sup>97</sup>) but the word means "terme (fixé par la consultation)" (J. Nougayrol) in an extispicy text with predictions on illness <sup>98</sup>). The Diagnostic Handbook also

<sup>90)</sup> Often mentioned with the dream interpreter (\$\delta^2\$ilu), as in BAM 3 315 II 15, III 15; 5 446:8, 468:2-3. Note the sequence physician - conjurer - diviner - dream interpreter in TDP 170:14 (= CT 37 42:14). BAM 1 87:18 poses a problem; see E. Ritter, 303b footnote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup>) As in  $B\overline{AM}$  3 318 III 39, "he will enter the sanctuary (É DINGIR =  $a\overline{sirtu}$ ) and sooth the wrath of the god".

<sup>92)</sup> RA 60 (1966) 174, "Il résulte (...) que l'expression šite" à ašrāt(i) peut désigner le processus qui aboutit à l'obtention d'un oracle". — Outdated is R.C. Thompson, JRAS 1931 13 note 2. — In CAD A/2 459 sub ašru.

<sup>93)</sup> BAM 1 77:21, dupl. 78:3; M. Civil, JNES 33 (1974) 336:6.

<sup>94)</sup> BAM 5 503 III 75, combined with 65, 73, 76. See also III 48 ("to speak and being heard will be his lot"), 52, 57, 61 ("during seven months he will experience nice things"), 76 ("he will experience ..."), 79.

<sup>95)</sup> AEM 1/1 27 ad no. 136 (p. 299-300) ("it is not Hand of the God, but just fever; she will recover"); I.Starr, Queries to the Sungod (1990) 192-6 nos. 190-5; 256 no. 277.

<sup>96)</sup> B.Landsberger, WdO 3 (1964) 65; E.Ritter, Studies B. Landsberger 304a. With some emphasis Ritter, 320b: "the notion of the day of crisis is an incursion from āšipūtu". Note the translation of Ludlul II 111, by Ritter, 303a note 14 (a): "and the bārû could not give (the day of) crisis for my (unbearable) pains". Ritter still needed a time indication but put "the day" between parentheses.

<sup>97) &</sup>quot;Crisis" could be based on the interpretation of adannu as the ripe state of sores, in AMT 74 II 25 and ABL 391 = LAS 246 rev. 20. But CAD S 276 translates the AMT passage as follows: "If a man's legs are full of simmus (= sores), these simmus have a fixed duration (adannu) — when he becomes full of simmus (you perform the ritual for healing him)".

<sup>98)</sup> CT 31 36 rev. 9, with J. Nougayrol, Semitica 6 (1956) 14 (adi ūm adanni iballuṭ arki adannišu imât).

frequently gives time indications in numbers of days; so fixing an *adannu* was not exclusively the diviner's task. We admit that the meaning of *adannu* in the diagnostic texts remain obscure to us<sup>99</sup>).

The word adannu as referring to a specific day is attested in some medical texts if we may identify the logogram UD.DA.KAM with it 100). There, it stands for the appropriate day in the year to perform a medical ritual. In the Seleucid period, this system developed into medical astrology because the days were related with positions in Zodiacal signs. Thus, we see how an early Neo-Assyrian survey of "simple" days was expanded with information on the corresponding stars in late Uruk texts 101). Generally speaking, the therapeutic medical texts hardly pay attention to exact days in specific months 102). When remedies against sorcery are involved, the fifth month and days 1, 7, 14, 21 and 29 of every month are mentioned as fitting; especially its moonless end (biblu).

## Conjurer and physician

We no longer can avoid the problem of the position of the physician  $(as\hat{u})$  versus that of the conjurer  $(\bar{a}\check{s}ipu)$ , discussed by R.Labat and E. Ritter<sup>103</sup>). The therapeutic texts tell us several times that a disease could not be "loosened"  $(pat\bar{a}ru)$  neither by the treatment of the physician nor by that of the conjurer. This looks like a frozen formula for: "Nothing succeeded". One also comes across diseases which the conjurer (alone) cannot "eradicate"  $(nas\bar{a}hu)^{104}$ ). Only once a comparable formula on the physician is found: the disease "has not been loosened by the hands of the physician and comes back time and time again" <sup>105</sup>).

We add that the physician is not only contrasted with the conjurer, but also with the diviner and the scholar. With the diviner in the well known phrase "the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup>) TDP 66:64, 65, 70; 166:97 with R. Labat, Syria 33 (1956) 121:11 ("avant la fin du jour"). Also in TDP 60:42?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup>) AHw III 1400 f., uddakam "den ganzen Tag lang; immerdar" (which must be wrong for our passages; the equation UD.DA.KAM =  $u_4$ -mu a-da-nu in BRM 4 20:52 concerns our word). Refs. are STT 2 300:4, 7, etc.; SpbTU II 122 no. 23:1, 126 no. 24:18 (all with specific dates indicated); cf. BAM 5 461 II 35-7 (bulți annūti ištu Nisannu [UD.D]A.KI-šú adi Adaru UD.DA.KI-šú [...] ana UD re-qú inneppeŝ). Can we compare with  $\bar{u}$ mu rēqu in this text the za-ku-ù-a-te in Boissier, DA 102:17 (hemerology)? Cf. F.Joannès, TÉBR 328 no. 90:7, ina ITU 3  $u_4$ -mu za-ki-ù-ti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup>) STT 2 300, compared with BRM 4 19 and 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup>) Examples known to me: *AMT* 5,3:11 (the head); 50,6:15; 105 IV 2,7,10,14,17 and dupls. (Spirits); *BAM* 4 323:79 (Spirits); 370 IIIb:5; 6 516 I 65 (eyes); *STT* 2 275:9 (Hatred).

 $<sup>^{103}</sup>$ ) R. Labat in his review of the book by H.E. Sigerist, in JCS 6 (1952) 128-133; and E. Ritter, "Magical-expert (=  $\bar{a}sipu$ ) and physician (=  $as\hat{u}$ ). Notes on two complementary professions in Babylonian medicine", in Studies B. Landsberger (1965) 299-321. Note also P.E. Dion, "Medical personnel in the Ancient Near East.  $as\hat{u}$  and  $\bar{a}sipu$  in Aramaic garb", ARAM I (1989) 206-216. — Ritter, 308 f., says that both men used the same drugs. It is possible, however, that the conjurer preferred magical plants like tarmus, imhur-lim, imhur-esrâ, bīnu.

<sup>104)</sup> BAM 1 9:55; 3 221 III 14 (cf. 323:75); 5 469 rev. 11 (cf. 470:24) (Hand of a Spirit); cf. 4 385 II 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup>) BAM 1 22:12 (see CAD A/2 345a).

physician shall not lay his hand on the patient, the diviner shall not make a prediction". With the scholar in a passage on eye salves: "spoon of lead (= collyrium) of the hand of a physician" is preceded by "perfect eye salve of the hand of a scholar (UM.ME.A); approved and checked" 106).

It has been demonstrated that the method of the asû is "empirical" rather than "rational" 107). To me, he is also the medical expert who is free to experiment. He is not a man of handbooks with narrowly circumscribed rituals, like the conjurer, diviner and scholar. Note that medicine was only one of the many concerns of those experts. The asû was the real doctor. It can be shown that when the conjurer failed one resorted to the doctor 108). In removing foreign bodies (corpora aliena) from the eye one first tried an incantation, before having to use Gula's knives, i. e., those of the doctor 109). We add that a non-medical text says that the man who goes to the patient's house (the conjurer) made a pronouncement (qība šakānu) either to perform the art of the physician or to [...]<sup>110</sup>). Most interesting is the text where we first read that a group of diseases (the group we discussed above) "persists under the treatment by physician and conjurer and is not loosened"; the text goes on with a prescription, ending in the remark "This is a lotion of the hand of a physician" 111). Why did the treatment of the physician fail first and now his help is promised? Again, the formula is frozen and need not be taken literally. The asû is the patient's last hope.

The doctor was free to experiment: do we find experiments in the medical texts? Abundantly, if one looks at the innumerable prescriptions beginning with "The same:" (KI.MIN) in the therapeutic texts. They tried out one recipe after another and could even number them 112). Sometimes they first give a magical prescription involving a ritual, followed ("KI.MIN") by a recipe using plants, etcetera 113). A few times, it is explicitly said that they do something new when "not seeing improvement" (DÙG.GA NU.IGI.DU<sub>8</sub>) 114). The physician indeed used his hands

<sup>106)</sup> BAM 6 516 IV 13, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup>) D. Goltz, Studien zur altorientalischen und griechischen Heilkunde (1974) 11-13, in her critique of Ritter (p. 5-14, 93-95); independently J.C. Pangas, Aula Orientalis 7 (1989) 230. — Still, Ritter's Postulate B (p. 302a) is not bad when she speaks of the asûs "practical grasp (intuition plus accumulated experience) of the immediate situation".

<sup>108)</sup> Ritter, 315-6; Herréro, La thérapeutique 22-3,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup>) 5 510 IV 37-8, with B. Landsberger, *JNES* 17 (1958) 58 (11). The same phrase is now known from an incantation against *maškadu*; see J. van Dijk, *YOS* 11 (1985) 23 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup>) CT 39 30:51 (broken)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup>) BAM 3 228:14-22. Note that 1-14 are a prescription of "46 lotions made of leaves".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup>) BAM 5 471 II 7-20; STT 1 57:24-27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup>) In foot diseases: AMT 100,3:8-12 and 13-14; 15-19 and 20-15,3:4 (join); 15,3:7-12 and 13-15; 69,2:2-6 and 7-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup>) BAM 6 548 IV 6 with R. Labat, RA 55 (1961) 152 f.; 558 IV 14-15; 575 III 36 with par. 55:17; W.G. Lambert, Iraq 31 (1969) 29:19 (wr. DÙG-ba). Cf. S.Parpola, LAS Comm., p. 257 ad no. 259. — Another phrase is šumma la innaḥ (BAM 4 417 rev. 3; CT 44 36:14).

in experiments when provoking boils in gall-diseased patients<sup>115</sup>) or a lancet in puncturing or scarification ( $nes\hat{u}$ ,  $mah\bar{a}su$ ,  $tar\bar{a}ku$ )<sup>116</sup>). It remains to be seen whether these techniques really are examples of la chirurgie<sup>117</sup>). Skin eruptions were often treated by provoking suppuration ( $sar\bar{a}ku$ ) or bleeding (adi damu  $uss\hat{a}$ ), by rubbing ( $k\hat{a}ru$ ), etc. Often, these experiments are not intended to heal the patient but rather to gather new data about the nature of the illness, or to create alternatives.

The physician was well versed in popular medicine. Its traces are few, however. We give a survey. In order to make dry eyes produce tears, he prescribes the patient to chop onions 118). Fish bile was a popular treatment for blindness 119). In a state of colic, the patient has to be drastically moved in unusual ways 120). Unique in its wording and contents are these prescriptions against a swollen belly: "He will lick a bronze saw or a bronze knife and he will recover — You make him sniff the dust of strong copper, if he sneezes, he will recover" 121). Unorthodox is a prescription against a kind of rash (rišûtu) styled as a recipe from a cookery book 122). These examples are more or less rational. But the doctor also shared superstitious beliefs with his fellow-men. Blindness is cured by applying the eyes of young ravens; behind this is a story also known in Europe about swallows 123). Against jaundice: "You will put a red golden ring on his hand"; this is sheer magic, of course, but not that of the conjurer 124). The tablet of foot diseases inserts one- or two-line incantations in the middle of a prescription, not between the official rulings: they smack of popular magical formulas 125).

A few times the therapeutic texts contrast oral and written tradition; both are quoted as alternatives<sup>126</sup>). One passage taken from the oral tradition (šum'uttu,

<sup>115)</sup> BAM 6 578 I 8-10, with M. Stol in K.R. Veenhof, Schrijvend Verleden (1983) 302 f. Cf. [bu-]-bu-uh-ta șa-lim-ta / sa-am-ta / it-ta-di in AMT 92,4 rev. 8-9.

<sup>116)</sup> M. Stol, Reflets des deux fleuves (Mélanges André Finet) (1989) 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup>) R. Labat, "À propos de la chirurgie babylonienne", Journal asiatique 242 (1954) 207-218. Cf. Goltz, 88 f.

<sup>118)</sup> BAM 5 510 I 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup>) W. von Soden, AfO 19 (1959-60) 81 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup>) BAM 6 574 I 4, 11, 13, 14-16, with Küchler's and von Oefele's remarks in BKBM (1904) 70, 72, 74.

<sup>121)</sup> BAM 6 575 II 54-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup>) BAM 4 391; cf. GCCI II 394.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup>) BAM 5 515 II 33-35; seen by R.C. Thompson in PRSM 19 (1926) 32 note 8. Cf. M. Stol, Phoenix 31,2 (1985) 56, "zwaluwkruid". The Syrian recipe is also found in R. Gottheil, JAOS 20 (1899) 202 § 29.

<sup>124)</sup> BAM 6 578 IV 4. Cf. the prescription against jaundice of the eyes, to tie threads of red wool around the right hand — also inspired by popular magic? — see R. Borger, Lisān mithurti (1969) 8 § XVII. The same therapeutic text, speaking of jaundice, says to tie something on the hand with red wool, BAM 6 578 IV 13. — A golden finger ring is used in BAM 5 494 IV 6, cf. III 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup>) AMT 74 II 26; 29-31; cf. 15,3:10-11.

<sup>126)</sup> BAM 1 52: 88 (x x KA), 91 (ana KA SAR) (?); 3 240:10 (a-< gan> -nu-ú šá pi-i tup-p[i]), 16 (a-

"hear-say") is couched in non-literary language <sup>127</sup>). Only one elaborate prescription is written in the Assyrian dialect; here the doctor is allowed to do otherwise "if he wishes" (šumma ḥadiāta) <sup>128</sup>). Elsewhere, the doctor's freedom is again expressed in Assyrian (mala ṣa-bu-ti "as much as you wish") <sup>129</sup>). Furthermore, only the therapeutic texts give us the popular names of diseases, especially of skin diseases. For the conjurer, they are theologically irrelevant. He wishes to recognize only Hands of gods <sup>130</sup>).

The only real expert in practical medicine was the doctor,  $as\hat{u}$ . We should not underestimate him. Colophons name him as the owner of medical tablets. A Middle-Assyrian medical text introduces every entry with "If the A.ZU ..."<sup>131</sup>). One expects that he could read this text and his prescribed activities are manifold  $(nas\bar{a}hu - pat\bar{a}ru - kas\bar{a}ru - s\bar{u}s\hat{u} - nuhhu)$ . The  $as\hat{u}s$  at the Assyrian court were educated men. Telling is the innocent remark in a letter of this time: "NN, the diviner, is ill. Let the king order that a A.ZU comes to see him" 132). The doctor is the man you need in the first place.

Now, what about the conjurer with his Diagnostic Handbook? The therapeutic texts hardly use his insights about the divine causes of disease and its prospects <sup>133</sup>). They show that one can heal patients without knowing anything about those Hands. But, as we have seen, a few times the Handbook is quoted with reverence. This Handbook was a kind of Bible, or, rather, Catechism, in the background of therapy. I suggest that this is due to the preoccupation of Babylonian science with the future. He who knows the outcome of disease is the real scholar. Occasionally, the therapeutic texts also quote lines from other handbooks with forecasts as authoritative statements.

But there may be more behind this than Babylonian science alone. Here, we can learn something from the attitude of "primitive" people towards the medicine man. In cultural anthropology, one discerns two levels of causality in illness: the efficient cause (the supernatural or natural being on whom the ultimate responsibility rests),

gan-nu-ú šá šum-'-ut-tú); BAM 5 494 I 38 (beginning: šá KA tup-pi, then:) DIŠ NA SAG.DU-su sa-ma-nu DIB-it); cf. BE 31 60 II 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup>) BAM 3 240:11-15 (about pregnant women).

 $<sup>^{128}</sup>$ ) AMT 41,4 = BAM 6 579 IV 33-43 (and dupls.); for the Assyriasms, see G.Meier, ZA 45 (1939) 215.

<sup>129)</sup> BAM 4 415 rev. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup>) Hands of particular gods are abundantly present in the Diagnostic Handbook, as diagnosis. They are far fewer but still frequent in the predictions of *Summa Alu*. Elsewhere, these Hands are rarely attested.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup>) BAM 2 171.

<sup>132)</sup> RMA 18 rev. 3-6. Similar is ABL 341.

<sup>133)</sup> R.D. Biggs, RIA, article "Medizin" § 2, also stresses the isolated position of the Diagnostic Handbook and writes: "We do not know to what extent exorcists in fact used the texts of SA.GIG in their practice, but it could have been useful in determining what action to take (for example, which deity should be addressed in prayer)"; RIA VI/7-8 (1990) 624b.

and the instrumental or immediate cause (the instrument or technique)<sup>134</sup>). The medicine man is expert in finding out the ultimate "efficient cause". "The shaman or witch doctor diagnoses by means of trance, or other divinatory techniques. Diagnosis — to find out who and why — is the primary skill that the patient seeks from his curer. Treatment of the instrumental cause, while important, is of secondary concern (...). The primary role of the shaman or the witch doctor is diagnostic" 135). We recognise in this man our āšipu and quote some well-phrased statements made by Karel van der Toorn: "A 'natural' illness has a 'supernatural' cause, a causa remota looming behind the causae proximae" — "The qāt DN [= Hand of god NN constructions, then, intend to localize the source of the signs rather than to give the a definite answer concerning the nature and cause of the disease" 136). The anthropologist continues: "After the who and why have been determined, treatment for the immediate cause may be administered by the same person, or the task may be turned over to a lesser curer, perhaps an herbalist" (137). This "lesser curer" is in principle the asû although we know that under some circumstances he could broaden his knowledge into the realm of magic.

Hippocrates, like the Babylonian hemerologies and extispicy texts <sup>138</sup>), occasionally recommends the doctor not to treat the patient (*epicheireîn*, *encheireîn*). Müri suspects a pre-rational attitude behind this: "Das einheitliche Festhalten des Gebotes und seine verschiedenartigen Begründungen in den einzelnen Schriften lassen vielleicht darauf schliessen, dass wir es mit dem Rest einer vor-medizinischen Einstellung zu tun haben. Die Haltung primitiver Völker Kranken gegenüber, die Anerkennung einer Macht, die den Kranken überwältigt und ihn menschlicher Hilfe entzieht, mag auch die Praxis griechischer Medizinmänner geregelt haben. Davon ragt nun noch (...) ein Teilstück in die durchrationalisierte wissenschaftliche Medizin hinüber: eine überlieferte Bindung, die die Ärzte gelten lassen, aber von ihrem eigenen Wissensbestand und von ihrer eigenen Berufslage aus verschieden begründen" <sup>139</sup>). The expertise of the conjurer fits this.

Practice in Assyria and Babylonia was different, however. High-level conjurers and doctors must have cooperated. One and the same person could be an expert in both fields. The large library of the conjurer Kiṣir-Aššur was full of therapeutic texts but that no single copy of the Diagnostic Handbook has been found <sup>140</sup>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup>) G.M. Foster, p. 778 in his article "Disease etiologies in non-Western medical systems", *American Anthropologist* 78 (1976) 773-782. His distinction between "personalistic" and "naturalistic" systems is not relevant to us.

<sup>135)</sup> G.M. Foster, p. 779. Cf. Peter Morley and Roy Wallis, Culture and curing (1980) 77.

<sup>136)</sup> Van der Toorn, Sin and Sanction 70, 78.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup>) Foster, 778, below.

<sup>138)</sup> I.Starr, Oueries to the Sungod (1990) 295 no. 317:5-6, and dupls.

<sup>139)</sup> W.Müri, Griechische Studien (1976) 57-63; p. 61 quoted. Originally published in 1936.

<sup>140)</sup> O.Pedersén, Archives and libraries in the city of Assur II (1986) 48, below, 50 f.

Interplay in skin diseases

As a matter of fact, Hands of particular gods are extremely rare in therapeutic texts <sup>141</sup>) and every instance where they are named requires an explanation. This writer has the impression that they are mainly attested in texts on skin diseases. Any affliction of the skin — wounds, eruptions — is called *simmu* in Akkadian <sup>142</sup>). It is a handicap for the Assyriologist that the Sumerogram GIG stands both for *murşu* and *simmu*, "interior" and "exterior" disease, but with some experience it is not difficult to determine the cases where *simmu* is meant (for example, when a GIG "comes out", *aşû*, it refers to *simmu*).

One of those Hands in therapeutic texts is the Hand of Ningestinanna in the remarkable text BAM 6 580. The fragmentary incantation in column II tells us that skin afflictions (simmu) originate from the gods who are able to heal them by asûtu<sup>143</sup>). Column III, again badly broken, gives the popular names of skin diseases (x MU.NI) but opens with the exceptional "[..]x-nu is its name; Hand of Nin.[..]". The next line starts with "[..] Hand of Ningestinanna — you shall not make a prediction", and two sets of alternative symptoms, entailing either death or recovery, so it seems, follow. In case of recovery, "you shall make a prediction about his recovery; in order to eradicate (the disease), you shall" (therapy follows). Clearly, the writer of the text wished to combine the popular diagnosis by the  $as\hat{u}$ ("x is its name") with theological insights of the  $\bar{a}sipu$  on supernatural causes ("Hand of DN"), in a way based on the incantation in col. II. Further on in column III, we find "x is its name; a wind has swept upon him and [so!] Overthrow by the god Pabilsag / Maštabba; you shall make a prediction; in order to eradicate (the disease), you shall" (an unusual therapy involving surgery follows) 144). Only here do we read of an Overthrow (sihiptu) by a god; was this expression used only for skin diseases? Elsewhere we come across the skin disease "šadānu is its name; Touch (liptu) by Marduk and Ninurta"145). Again, two definitions. Another text on skin diseases offers "'Ox fat' is its name; Hand of Ningal, you shall make a prediction" 146). In still another text on skin diseases, "Hand of Šamaš" is the diagnosis for white boils, "Hand of Sîn — Oath (mamītu) has seized him — Hand of Ištar" for red boils 147). A fragmentary text speaks of "[x] is its name; Hand of Adad"; the reverse has the line "you put [...] on the wound (pān simmi)" — is this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup>) Well attested, on the other hand, are the Hands of Mankind, Sorcery, Cutting-off-Life, a Spirit, an Oath, the/a god or goddess (mainly among other Hands).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup>) We fully agree with J.-M. Durand, AEM 1/1 (1988) 552.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup>) Translation by R.C. Thompson, JSOR 15 (1931) 59 no. 219 (= AMT 84,4 II), in his article "Assyrian prescriptions for ulcers or similar affections". See also CAD S 277 f.

<sup>144)</sup> III 16-17, 21-22: lam-ṣa-at ḥi-la-a-ti/te NITA/SAL MU.NI IM iš-biṭ-su-ma si-ḥi-ip-ti dPA.BIL.SAG / dMAŠ.TAB.BA DUG4.GA / qi-ba GAR-an ana ZI-šú GIG ša-tu (...) te-né-[eṣ-ṣe] / tu-na-kap-šu.

<sup>145)</sup> BAM 4 409:35 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup>) BAM 4 417:15, cf. rev. 11.

<sup>147)</sup> BAM 6 584 II 29, 26.

a text on skin diseases? One section has the recommendation "you shall make a prediction" <sup>148</sup>). "Hand of Sîn" and a few other Hands are mentioned in a fragment prescribing salves <sup>149</sup>).

The examples for Hands of gods in therapeutic texts on other diseases than those of the skin are these: Hand of Šamaš, followed by "you shall make a prediction", twice in a passage on a muscle disease (sagallu)<sup>150</sup>); Hand of Šulpaea and Hand of Ištar as cause of diminished eye-sight<sup>151</sup>).

As the reader can see, the references for Hands of gods in therapeutic texts are only a handful.

The conjurer reckons only with divine Hands, the doctor uses the popular names for an illness in his diagnosis. We sometimes find those in the therapeutic texts, in the formula "x is its name" ( $\check{s}um\check{s}u$ , written MU.NI, MU.NE, MU- $\check{s}u$ ). We list them here.

aḥḥāzu (BAM 578 IV 26), a jaundice.

amurriqānu (ibid., III 7), a jaundice.

 $harasu (BAM 4 409:18, 20), of the skin^{152}).$ 

kirbānu (BAM 6 583 I 4), literally "clod" (cf. ruţibtum, below), of the skin.

lamṣat ḥilāti zikari / sinništi (BAM 580 III 16, 21), of the skin.

lipi alpim (BAM 4 417:15, rev. 11; written I.UDU GUD), literally "ox fat", of the skin.

NE (=  $pend\hat{u}$  ?<sup>153</sup>)) (BAM 3 264 II 23; R.Labat, RA 53 14 rev. 15), of the skin? ruţibtum KI-lu-tum (AMT 74 II 32, 34), literally "...<sup>154</sup>) wet clod", an eruption on the foot.

sagbānu (AMT 74 III 13; written PA-ba-nu; note [sa]-ag-ba-nu-um, 26), of the foot, a muscle disease.

šadānu (BAM 4 409:28, 35), of the skin.

šaššatu (BAM 2 129 IV 3), a muscle disease.

šibit šāri (BAM 6 580 VI 8, with Köcher, p. XXXI, K. 3526), literally "sweeping by the wind", of the skin.

umurru (BAM 5 494:30, dupl. CT 23 50:5), of the skin.

ziqtum (AMT 30,2:9,10, 11), literally "sting"; pocks (?) affecting the face 155).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup>) BAM 4 418:3, cf. rev. 9; qi-ba GAR-an in line 8. The Hand of Adad is also mentioned in the botanical handbook Šammu šikinšu, STT 1 93:60; BAM 4 379 II 55.

<sup>149)</sup> BAM 4 402:3; cf. 2, 6.

<sup>150)</sup> CT 23 12 III 50 (dupl. (?) AMT 19,8:3, dupl. (?), BAM 4 415 rev. 2); IV 8. "Hand of Šamaš" as a muscle disease is confirmed by a lexical text equating this Hand with sagbānu; MSL 14 (1979) 505 Aa VIII/2 comm., 24. The latter word occurs among muscle diseases; see CAD S 22a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup>) BAM 6 516 II 19-24. Gloss to Hand of Sulpaea: dIM ša HAR.

<sup>152)</sup> Cf. M. Stol, BiOr 46 (1989) 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup>) Inspired by B. Landsberger, *OLZ* 17 (1914) 263.

<sup>154)</sup> K. van der Toorn, Sin and Sanction 82 f.; MSL 9 (1967) 82 f. Riţibtu is a variant of kirbānu in BE 6/2 9:11, case and tablet. Note that BAM 2 124 II 35 offers ru-šum-tú instead of ru-ţib-ta in dupl. AMT 73 II 11.

<sup>155)</sup> Cf. J. Nougayrol, RA 65 (1971) 73:38, ziqtu (šikṣu preceeds) on the liver predicts the skin disease girgiššu. See also R. Labat, RA 53 (1959) 14 rev. 12, with note 6. — Ziqtu has more meanings in medical

Unusual wording: pendû BABBAR ša ga-ra-bu iqabbû (BAM 6 580 V 17), of the skin 156). Omitting "its name": Sîn-lurmâ (BAM 6 516 II 30-1), "night/day-blindness".

Uncertain: [...], AMT 22,4:2,4; BAM 4 418:3 (of the skin?); 6 580 III 2; HAR-ri-šú MU.N[I], IV 4 (all of the skin).

The preponderance of these popular names in skin diseases — we include jaundice — is striking 157). Is it a coincidence that the exceptions are here again muscle and eye diseases (sagbānu, šassatu, Sîn-lurmâ), just as the Hands of Šamaš (sagallu) and Šulpaea and Ištar (diminished eyesight) appeared to be?

Let us restrict ourselves to the skin diseases. The sequence "x is its name; Hand of / Overthrow by / DN; you shall (not) make a prediction", shows that in these cases the doctor is obliged to take into account the higher knowledge on Hands of the conjurer. I see only one explanation for this unusual interplay between doctor and conjurer: skin diseases were a special case because according to popular belief they can originate from gods, and man has to withdraw in some cases. Leprosy is the best known example 158). This is why the texts are so precise in giving their popular names (sometimes followed by "he will die" 159)) and can relate them to Hands of gods: the theologian has to decide what is to be done. Of course, this will immediately remind us of the careful differentiations of skin eruptions made by the priest in Israel (Leviticus 13). Note that one of the Babylonian texts tells the patient, after a ritual: "May you be clean; may that man be clean (zakû)" 160).

A closer study of skin diseases in the Babylonian medical texts is a desideratum<sup>161</sup>); they can be contrasted with physiognomic texts<sup>162</sup>).

texts. The sting by a poisonous animal; in a Mari letter it is an ailment starting in the foot; J.-M. Durand, AEM 1/1 (1988) 553, on no. 266 (p. 567). Its relation with zaqātu is explicit in the commentary A.R. George, RA 85 (1991) 150:36.

<sup>156)</sup> See M. Stol, BiOr 46 (1989) 129 f.; JEOL 30 (1987-88) 29 f.

<sup>157)</sup> Note "miqtum is its name", said of a "leprosy" of walls, in Summa Alu, CT 40 17:55-67. For miqtu as a simmu, see YOS 11 29:1-3; K. 6057 in C. Bezold, Catalogue II 759; cf. YOS 10 18 rev. 54 with U. Jeyes, Old Babylonian extispicy (1989) 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup>) Van der Toorn, 72-75; cf. Stol, 28 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup>) AMT 73 II 10-11 (Oath and ruțibtu); BAM 6 578 III 4-6, IV 44-46 (developing jaundice); 580 III 4 (Hand of Ningeštinanna).

<sup>160)</sup> BAM 4 417:23. The verb ebēbu also refers to his purity; see CAD E 4-5 and BRM 4 24 II 26 f., cited by R. Labat, Un calendrier babylonien des travaux des signes et des mois (1965) 74 note 2 (leprosy). Both verbs zakû and ebēbu are also used for cleaning garments: leprosy and other skin diseases cover the body like a garment; cf. T. Abusch, Babylonian Witchcraft Literature (1987) 68-73. — The other adjective for cleanness, ellu, stands for sexual purity, as far as I see; W. Farber, BID (1977) 233:84-88; STT 2 280 I 24, with Biggs, Šà.zi.ga 66; R. Labat, Semitica 3 (1950) 11 II 13 (impure woman); CT 44 45:28, 36; cf. BBR 24:30 (diviner šá za-ru-šú la KÙ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup>) Provisional list of texts: AMT 16,5; 17,5; 17,8; 31,7; 52,3; 77,6; 84,6; BAM 31-35; 152 1; 264; 297; 383; 391; 409; 417; 494; 497; 511; 580-584; CT 44 36; R. Labat, RA 53 (1959) 1-18; G. Beckman, B.R. Foster, A Scientific Humanist (Studies A.J. Sachs) (1988) 19 no. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup>) F.R. Kraus, MVAeG 40/2 (1935) 39-45; TBP p. 5 sub 5. New are YOS 10 54 (DUB), 55; UVB 21 (1965) Tafel 16, g W. 20851 and BM. 64513 (both ŠE); photo of the reverse in Tomoo Ishida, Studies in the period of David and Solomon and other essays (Tokyo 1982) 353; cf. J.V. Kinnier Wilson, ib., 351 note 56.